

DOI: 10.32636/01308521.2024-(75)-2-1

Review

UDC 633.2

INTEGRATION OF RED CLOVER INTO AGRICULTURAL SYSTEMS TO ADDRESS CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES**N. Kozak¹, O. Stasiv¹, H. Konyk¹, N. Panakhyd², H. Panakhyd¹**

¹Institute of Agriculture of Carpathian Region of NAAS
Hrushevskoho street, 5, Obroshyne village, Lviv district, Lviv region, 81115

²Lviv National Environmental University
Volodymyra Velykoho street, 1, Dubliany, Lviv district, Lviv region, 80381

About authors:

¹Nadiia KOZAK
ORCID: 0000-0002-2809-2432

¹Oleh STASIV
ORCID: 0000-0003-3737-739X

¹Hryhorii KONYK
ORCID: 0000-0003-2841-2982

²Nazar PANAKHYD
ORCID: 0009-0007-0987-9567

¹Halyna PANAKHYD
ORCID: 0000-0001-8545-2580

For corresponding:

Nadiia KOZAK
e-mail: k.nadia2807@gmail.com

Funding information:

National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine

Received:
15 October 2023
Accepted:
17 April 2024

Red clover is a valuable leguminous perennial crop and is considered one of the best predecessors for many agricultural cultures regardless of its usage method. This work compiles the latest scientific developments related to growing red clover as a cover crop, forage, and bioenergy, and analyzes its utilization methods. It's a globally significant crop, as it is cultivated on all continents. Research on the use of red clover in crop rotations carries an alternative and innovative character, especially considering the modern warming conditions that will enhance the intensification of processes of parent rock carbonate migration. Red clover is mainly used as fodder for livestock (and is fed to almost all types of animals and birds in various forms: hay, silage, haylage granulated grass flour, etc.). Its yield can reach 50-87 t green mass ha⁻¹ or 10-15 t dry matter ha⁻¹, and it accumulates up to 500 kg Nitrogen ha⁻¹ in the soil, which improves its fertility. The use of red clover's herbaceous biomass, especially if it is surplus for livestock use, or bioenergy production, is an alternative way of using this agricultural culture. A prospective direction for further research could involve comparing the efficiency of cultivating red clover for use as a cover crop, fodder, and bioenergy purposes, to develop a comprehensive strategy for fully harnessing the potential of red clover and effectively integrating it into modern agricultural practices.

Keywords: red clover, grassland, legumes, environmental impact, forages.

This is an open-access article under the terms of the Creative Commons.

© Kozak N. I., Stasiv O. F., Konyk H. S., Panakhyd N. T., Panakhyd H. Ya., 2024

Використання конюшини лучної в сільськогосподарських системах для вирішення сучасних викликів

¹Інститут сільського господарства
Карпатського регіону НААН
вул. Грушевського, 5, с. Оброшине,
Львівський р-н, Львівська обл., 81115

²Львівський національний університет
природокористування
вул. Володимира Великого 1,
м. Дубляни, Львівська обл.,
80381

Про авторів:

¹Надія КОЗАК,
аспірантка
ORCID: 0000-0002-2809-2432

¹Олег СТАСІВ,
доктор сільськогосподарських наук
ORCID: 0000-0003-3737-739X

¹Григорій КОНИК,
доктор сільськогосподарських наук
ORCID: 0000-0003-2841-2982

²Назар ПАНАХИД,
студент
ORCID: 0009-0007-0987-9567

¹Nalya PANAKHYD,
доктор сільськогосподарських наук
ORCID: 0000-0001-8545-2580

For corresponding:

Nadiia KOZAK
e-mail: k.nadia2807@gmail.com

Інформація про фінансування:

Національна академія аграрних наук
України

Отримано:
15 жовтня 2023 р.
Погоджено до друку:
17 квітня 2024 р.

Конюшина лучна є цінною бобовою багаторічною культурою і вважається одним з найкращих попередників для багатьох сільськогосподарських культур незалежно від способу використання. У цій роботі узагальнено останні наукові розробки, пов'язані з вирощуванням конюшини лучної як покривної, кормової та біоенергетичної культури, а також проаналізовано способи її використання. Конюшина є глобально значущою культурою, оскільки вирощується на всіх континентах. Дослідження щодо використання конюшини лучної в сівозмінах мають альтернативний та інноваційний характер, особливо з огляду на сучасні умови потепління, які сприятимуть інтенсифікації процесів міграції карбонатів материнських порід. Конюшина лучна в основному використовується як корм для худоби (згодовується практично всім видам тварин і птахів у різних формах: сіно, силос, сінаж, гранульоване трав'яне борошно тощо). Її врожайність може досягати 50–87 т/га зеленої маси або 10–15 т/га сухої речовини, а в ґрунті вона накопичує до 500 кг/га азоту, що покращує його родючість. Використання трав'янистої біомаси конюшини лучної, особливо якщо вона є надлишковою для використання у тваринництві або для виробництва біоенергії, є альтернативним способом використання цієї сільськогосподарської культури. Перспективним напрямком подальших досліджень може бути порівняння ефективності вирощування конюшини лучної для використання як покривної культури, кормової та біоенергетичної, з метою розробки комплексної стратегії для повного використання потенціалу конюшини лучної та ефективної інтеграції її в сучасні сільськогосподарські практики.

Ключові слова: конюшина лучна, травостої, бобові, вплив на довкілля, корми.

Introduction. The modern world is facing the challenge of increasing population numbers. According to the UN, the global population is projected to reach 9.5 billion by the year 2050 [117], and the global demand for food, feed, and biofuels will increase by 70 % [42]. This necessitates an increase in production, thus requiring improved efficiency in agricultural cultivation. The application of new technologies based on perennial grasses

will help prevent the escalation of a global food crisis. This also aligns with the “green” measures of the Common Agricultural Policy, which stipulates those permanent grasslands should occupy no less than 5 % of the total agricultural land area [39].

In the world, grasslands cover 3.2×10^9 hectares [41], while in Europe, they occupy 5.4×10^7 hectares [39]. The multi-functionality of these agroecosystems is

gaining increasing importance [107]. Enhancing plant species diversity through the cultivation of complex mixed stands of grasses and leguminous forage plants in grasslands combines the potential for high dry matter yield [95], high-quality fodder [63], improved dairy cow productivity [76], and reduced greenhouse gas emissions [14].

Alongside permanent grasslands, perennial grasses are widely utilized in crop rotations, where they are grown for 1–2 years. Cultivating red clover in short rotation sequences positively affects soil fertility, particularly by increasing soil nitrogen concentration [9, 10], organic and total carbon content [59], and amino sugars [22] in the soil.

Red clover is often used as fodder for agricultural animals and for energy purposes [38]. Alongside this, this crop plays a significant agroecological role as it contributes to soil fertility improvement. Red clover serves as a potent source of nitrogen accumulation in the soil [67, 81].

The sown area of red clover in Ukraine constitutes over 25 % of the total sown area of perennial grasses or more than 300 thousand ha [64]. In pursuit of sustainable agricultural production and recognizing the benefits of leguminous crops, including red clover, there is encouragement to expand its cultivation area [86]. This crop is considered one of the best precursors for various agricultural cultures regardless of its utilization method [18, 47, 113].

Red clover is a key perennial forage legume of temperate zones, with global utilization. It's recognized as one of the most important leguminous crops worldwide, capable of producing high-protein, digestible fodder [29, 49]. Red clover is known for its ability to rapidly establish and thrive across a wide range of soil types, pH levels, and environmental conditions.

Recent research highlights significant climate changes [19] and their impact on agroecosystems [6, 108, 118]. Key climate change scenarios for Europe predict higher summer and winter temperatures, increased winter precipitation in most regions, and more frequent extreme weather conditions [50].

According to scientists [8], despite advances in science, new technologies, and developments, the global productivity of all agricultural crops has declined by 21 % over the past 60 years due to climate change. Integrating red clover into agricultural systems can enhance their resilience to climate change [58].

According to the findings of Heslop et al. [49], a key survival trait of plants under stress conditions, be it excess moisture or drought, is the dry mass of roots. This trait significantly influences the growth and development of red clover. Red clover can accumulate over 4.08 tons per hectare of root dry matter in the soil, containing more than 80 kg/ha of nitrogen, 24 kg/ha of phosphorus, and 51 kg/ha of potassium [123]. The root system of perennial grasses, located in the plow layer of the soil, is a primary component that facilitates morphological, physiological, physical, and metabolic interactions between above-ground and below-ground plant parts [115].

Tucak et al. [13] investigated twenty-three varieties and populations of red clover from various geographic origins to assess the impact of climatic conditions (precipitation and temperature) on productivity and identify those with high forage potential under ecological stress. It was found that weather conditions with higher precipitation contributed to increased productivity in all varieties and populations, and the yield of the best specimens reached 83.3 tons per hectare of green mass. Due to the biological nitrogen fixation effect, leguminous forages like red and white clover can maintain productivity for up to 30 days during drought [78]. However, according to Stevenson et al.'s research, red clover has a low tolerance to waterlogging, leading to a reduction in chlorophyll content and dry matter yield [101].

Systematizing research related to the cultivation of red clover and conducting an analysis of its utilization methods based on it will help identify promising directions for future research aimed at effective agricultural production management while simultaneously improving soil fertility.

The agroecological significance of red clover

Grasslands, comprising the primary element of various landscapes, play a crucial role in fostering sustainable ecosystem development and have a positive influence on society as a whole [61, 60]. Perennial leguminous grasses act as soil structure formers, serve as excellent predecessors for most crops, and protect the soil from erosion [3, 88].

Cover Crops

Currently, agricultural plants utilize only 30–53 % of applied nitrogen fertilizers [43]. One important approach to nitrogen supply for agricultural plants is the use of organic fertilizers, especially considering the increasing production of nitrogen from biological materials [18]. The value of using organic fertilizers to enhance the productivity of perennial grasses has been demonstrated by various researchers, including a strong positive correlation between the quality of organic fertilizers and biomass accumulation reported by J. K. Nyameasem et al. [31]. Replacing synthetic nitrogen fertilizers could be achieved through the cultivation of red clover, which is used as a cover crop [30, 77, 79], and this could be most feasible if nitrogen fixation in biomass or immobilization in the soil is utilized [32, 112].

The rising costs of mineral fertilizers necessitate the development and refinement of alternative strategies to supply cereal crops with mineral nutrients, particularly nitrogen. Research conducted in England found that reducing fertilizer usage, increasing the use of new red clover varieties, and enhancing farmers' ability to assess botanical composition are potential strategies to improve red clover utilization in grasslands [98]. Perennial leguminous grasses are grown in crop rotations to enhance nitrogen and other nutrient cycling and availability for other crops in the rotation [69].

Studies conducted in the state of Iowa (USA), investigating the use of red clover and alfalfa as cover crops to provide nitrogen for succeeding corn crops, confirmed that the impact of leguminous cover crops on corn

yield was associated with nitrogen. Specifically, without nitrogen fertilizer application, corn grain yield following oats with red clover inter-seed was 63 % higher than after oats grown alone, but at the highest fertilizer rate (202 kg/ha of nitrogen), there was no yield difference between legume and oat treatments. These studies also found that inter-seeded red clover produced more biomass and contained more nitrogen than inter-seeded alfalfa. Red clover cover crop can substitute for 87–184 kg/ha of nitrogen for corn, while alfalfa can substitute for 70–121 kg/ha of nitrogen [10].

Research by Sarauskis et al. [37] on different fertilization systems in a crop rotation with winter wheat and winter barley with under-seeded red clover found that using red clover as a cover crop resulted in an energy efficiency coefficient of 8.73 on low-carbon soils and 9.15 on high-carbon soils. These coefficients were the highest among all studied fertilization systems (cover crop + manure, manure + NPK, and cover crop + NPK). Researchers noted that manure application led to a tenfold increase in total greenhouse gas emissions compared to cover crop application. These findings are corroborated by other studies [109].

Rasmussen et al. [77] sowed barley after different cereal-legume mixtures and were surprised to find that, in terms of N₂ fixation, high-yielding alfalfa had the same legacy effect as white clover, which fixed only half the nitrogen contained in the grass-legume mixture. They suggested that this was due to the higher nitrogen content in alfalfa residue, which produced more biomass and, consequently, more carbon input into the soil.

According to data from Lynge et al. [104], using red clover as a cover crop can provide around 500 kg/ha of nitrogen, but this is achievable only if it's cut 4–5 times a year. The second cut of a two-cut system allows for 210 kg/ha of nitrogen. Scientists assert that the amount of nitrogen red clover can contribute as a cover crop depends on the cultivation conditions. This hypothesis is supported by other studies, where nitrogen release from cover crops ranged from 230 to 470 kg/ha [89].

The impact of cover crops from red clover and alfalfa on succeeding crops, according to individual researchers, can exceed the impact of organic fertilizers such as poultry manure when used in vegetable crops [68], or it can be lower than the impact of cattle manure when used in barley cultivation [119].

The positive influence of inter-seeded red clover under winter wheat and its use as a cover crop preceding corn has been confirmed in studies by Schipanski et al. [97] and Gaudin et al. [58].

Red clover is cultivated as a cover crop in the inter-rows of black currants, with the critical factor being the time interval between mowing and incorporating cover crops into cash crops [93]. In these studies, red clover yielded up to 13 tons/ha of dry matter with a nitrogen content of 58 kg ha⁻¹.

Nitrogen Fixation

Grasslands incorporating leguminous crops, particularly red clover, contribute to reduced fertilizer application in pastures, as atmospheric nitrogen fixed by clover can be utilized by grasses, exemplifying niche complementarity between these two species. In other words, the positive effect of mixtures lies in the mutually stimulating impact on nitrogen uptake by grass and legume components, along with increased efficiency in transforming assimilated nitrogen into biomass [48, 111]. The contribution of biological nitrogen fixation to enhancing agricultural efficiency, according to FAO data, approximately doubles the yield of mineral nitrogen fertilizers [11].

Red clover, thanks to biological nitrogen fixation, significantly enhances the productivity of grasslands where it is included as a component of grass mixtures [92]. Specifically, according to Irish researchers W. Burchill et al. [21], white clover can fix 80 kg/ha of nitrogen without the application of nitrogen fertilizers, but this fixation decreases when nitrogen fertilizer is used—under N₂₈₀ application, the amount of biologically fixed nitrogen was 47 kg/ha. The same researchers demonstrate that the introduction of N₈₆ and N₁₄₀ does not significantly affect the amount of fixed nitrogen, which accounted for 64 and 66 kg/ha, respectively.

Research conducted by Kurhak & Gorkusha [65] has shown that incorporating leguminous crops into grass mixtures replaced the need for applying 102 to 200 kg/ha of nitrogen to grasslands. Davydiuk et al. [24] indicate that legume components in late-maturing grass mixtures replaced 98–110 kg/ha of mineral nitrogen in terms of dry matter accumulation and 140–159 kg/ha in terms of digestible protein output. According to the Institute of Animal Feeding (Ukraine) [71], legume-grass mixtures containing two legume components provided almost the same dry matter yield as grass mixtures without legumes but with an input of 180 kg/ha of nitrogen. Even on saline meadows, the inclusion of leguminous grasses in grasslands allows compensation for 60–160 kg/ha of mineral fertilizer nitrogen [70].

According to some researchers [105, 121], the yield of legume-grass mixtures without nitrogen fertilizer application is equivalent to the yield of grasses to which 112–250 kg/ha of effective nitrogen substance is applied. Other researchers assert that N-fixing leguminous grasses exhibit high root phosphatase activity, especially under low phosphorus availability in soils [84].

According to various scientists [5, 56, 72, 94] depending on soil-climatic conditions, red clover can accumulate from 45 to 340 kg/ha of nitrogen annually.

Moreover, the multifunctional nature of red clover as a melliferous plant, providing essential pollen for bees during a substantial period, highlights its ecological value beyond its agricultural benefits [23, 81].

Ensuring food security

Forages

The primary purpose of red clover is its utilization as animal feed. This perennial leguminous crop plays a crucial role in sustainable livestock development [106]. It is fed to ruminants [90], pigs [34], sheep [83], poultry [53, 102], and even fish [116] in various forms, such as hay, silage, haylage, chopped green material, and pellets [44, 51, 54, 55, 80, 82]. Compared to annual cereal and seed crops, perennial pastures have

significantly lower nutrient losses and reduced pesticide requirements, while also contributing to soil carbon accumulation. Studies on feed bio-rectification [62] have produced protein of comparable quality to soybean meal, suitable as a poultry and swine substitute, without compromising animal productivity.

Grazing dairy cattle on clover pastures enriches milk with polyunsaturated fatty acids, especially α -linolenic acid, while starch-rich concentrates alter biohydrogenation pathways [35]. Balanced feeding systems enhance animal health, productivity, and their ability to meet growing global food demand. This has positive implications for the global economy and the livelihoods of those dependent on livestock.

In Europe, the utilization of grasslands by farmers has evolved significantly in recent decades to address ecological concerns. The production of grass feeds is closely linked to preserving ecosystem biodiversity [52], and the inclusion of leguminous grasses in pasture communities is a vital foundation for sustainable and competitive ruminant production, emphasizing their growing role in livestock development [99].

Red Clover's Yield and Nutritional Value

Red clover's yield can reach 9–15 t ha⁻¹ of dry matter [1], and it accumulates sufficient nitrogen in the soil to support subsequent crops in the rotation with reduced nitrogen fertilizer rates of 10–12 % without compromising economic efficiency [12]. Red clover stands provide a yield of 12–13 t ha⁻¹ of feed units and 1.7 t ha⁻¹ of digestible protein, with up to 142 g of digestible protein per kg of feed unit [103, 124]. Lagush's research [66] revealed that, under an organo-mineral fertilization system, red clover could yield 10.5 t ha⁻¹ of dry matter, containing 0.93 feed units, 105.6 g of digestible protein, and 12.4 MJ of metabolizable energy per kg of dry matter.

Livestock farms, especially those focused on dairy production, are increasingly interested in perennial legume-grass pastures due to their high productivity and protein concentration. The incorporation of such mixtures is limited by the low persistence of

many legume varieties. Red clover (*Trifolium pratense*) and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) are among the most common legume species used in ruminant feeding systems. Feeds from red clover have lower crude protein concentrations than most alfalfa varieties but exhibit higher organic matter digestibility [2]. Notably, high protein concentrations in legume feeds that are easily degradable can lead to bloat issues [45].

Research by N. J. Hoekstra et al. [91] demonstrates that the resilience and nutritional value of different red clover varieties correlate closely with morphological characteristics, particularly stem length, suggesting stem length could be crucial in plant selection.

Research conducted in the state of Minnesota (USA) [122] has established that leguminous crops, including red clover studied in the research, have the potential to provide high-quality forage. However, the digestible energy of all leguminous crops in monoculture or mixture exceeds even the energy requirements of adult horses for maintenance (2.29 Mcal/kg). Horses preferred red and white clover over alfalfa, and this preference positively correlated with the content of crude protein and nutritional energy for the horses.

Important avenues of utilizing red clover include silage production [27, 100], its use in mixtures with other leguminous crops, particularly with white clover, red fescue, and sainfoin [45], and its application as a cover crop [87]. Indeed, intercropping leguminous crops can enhance feed productivity at the system level and help preserve biodiversity while concurrently reducing nitrogen losses through leaching and gas emissions.

The use of mixed grass-legume pastures, both for grazing livestock and for silage production, is widely practised in European agricultural systems with moderate climates, especially in the United Kingdom, where 70 % of grasslands on dairy farms contain red clover [25].

Usage of Red Clover in Various Sectors

Forage production from red clover could provide an alternative source of high-quality dietary protein. Research aiming to enhance protein solubility explored strategies to inhibit oxidative-reductive processes in red clover and

white clover plants [57]. Using sulfites was found to slow the activity of polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase enzymes while enhancing the solubility of Rubisco enzyme forms. Increased sulfite content correlated with increased protein digestibility in red clover, suggesting sulfite inhibits oxidative enzymes and enhances red clover protein quality.

Food Industry and Pharmaceuticals

Red clover, a perennial forage crop rich in isoflavones (such as formononetin and biochanin A), can serve as a source of bioactive compounds with potential health benefits for both humans and animals [110], including the treatment of neurodegenerative disorders [7].

Red clover could become a new source of high-quality protein for producing dietary protein with enhanced solubility. Research investigating the mitigation of oxidative-reductive processes in red clover plants suggests that sulfite treatment could improve protein solubility and quality [57].

Incorporating red clover into feeding systems and exploring its potential in various sectors highlights its importance in addressing food security and promoting sustainable agriculture practices.

Growing for energy purposes

The increasing global population poses significant challenges not only for sustainable food and feed production but also for fuel, while simultaneously reducing anthropogenic impact on climate, the environment, and biodiversity [4]. This has led to a growing replacement of fossil fuels with renewable energy sources, such as bioenergy. The global production of bioenergy is projected to continue growing, with estimates from the International Energy Agency suggesting that biofuels could account for up to 27 % of the world's transport fuel by 2050 [73]. However, this transition must occur in a manner that integrates bioenergy production into land use for food and feed production. Furthermore, bioenergy production should significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions, with the European Union's Renewable Energy Directive aiming for at least a 60 % reduction in GHG emissions compared to fossil fuels

[28]. Therefore, urgent development of low-carbon emission and high-production biomass crops is essential to address these challenges.

Researchers have shown increased interest in using perennial grasses, including red clover, for bioenergy purposes [20, 40, 95]. Utilizing grass biomass as an energy source, particularly when there's excess for animal feeding, serves as an alternative use for this agricultural crop [120]. Numerous studies have investigated the bioenergy potential of both permanent and temporary grasslands [17, 33, 74, 114, 36]. Biomass regularly harvested and used as an energy source without reducing green cover in the region is considered a renewable resource and ecologically neutral, as it has a net-zero carbon emission balance.

The most common method for using grassland biomass for bioenergy production in Europe involves converting harvested biomass into methane through anaerobic [15]. Management practices like fertilizer application usually increase biomass production on managed pastures and subsequently enhance methane output [75]. According to W.-F. Cong et al. [46], fertilizer application increased methane output and net energy balance by 10 %, reaching 82–110 GJ ha⁻¹ per year. These researchers also noted that, among all the tasks associated with grassland biofuel production, the most energy was expended in biogas production processes (average of 58 %), followed by biogas purification and compression (26 %), and crop cultivation and harvesting (13 %).

Danish researchers [16] investigated the biogas potential of residual fractions of four organically grown crops, including red clover, after protein extraction. According to these findings, 65 % of the methane potential from fresh red clover can be extracted through co-fermentation of residual fractions with green biogas after protein extraction. In subsequent studies [26, 85], the same researchers outlined a concept for a green biorefinery that divides green mass from red clover into various fractions produced during processing: protein concentrate, press cake, and "brown juice". The mixture of press cake and brown juice remains rich in nutrients and maintains an

appropriate C:N ratio, making it suitable for co-fermentation within the biogas process as part of the biorefinery concept; thus, it's independent of other co-substrates.

Conclusions

The study underscores the intricate interplay between agriculture, population growth, sustainability, and climate change. The integration of innovative practices, such as cultivating red clover and perennial grasses, stands as a strategy to navigate the challenges posed by population growth, climate change, and the global demand for food security. By fostering greater productivity, resilience, and environmental consciousness, these practices present a potential path forward for a more sustainable agricultural future.

The use of red clover as a cover crop contributes to increasing agricultural land productivity and, consequently, enhancing the economic efficiency of agricultural production. By replacing organic fertilizers

with animals, it reduces greenhouse gas emissions. As a forage crop, red clover enhances the efficiency of the livestock sector and ensures food security. Utilizing red clover for bioenergy purposes contributes to environmental conservation.

The importance of all the aforementioned outcomes of red clover cultivation is difficult to overestimate and cannot be compared, as they are all highly significant and ultimately contribute to improving soil fertility and mitigating the negative impact of climate change.

For future research, it could be promising to compare the effectiveness of cultivating red clover for cover cropping, fodder, and energy purposes. Given the versatility of the crop, studying combined methods of its utilization is worthwhile, as this will lead to new insights and align with the green course of the European Union's Common Agricultural Policy.

References

1. A review of the use of chicory, plantain, red clover and white clover in a sward mix for increased sheep and beef production / L. M. Cranston et al. *Journal of New Zealand Grasslands*. 2015. Vol. 77, P. 89–94. <https://doi.org/10.33584/jnzc.2015.77.475>.
2. A two-year comparison on nutritive value and yield of eight lucerne cultivars and one red clover cultivar / D. O. Sousa et al. *Grass And Forage Science*. 2020. Vol. 75 (1). P. 76–85. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12459>.
3. Adaptive energy-efficient technologies for growing perennial leguminous forage crops in the conditions of the Right-Bank Forest-Steppe / H. P. Kvitko et al. *Feeds and Feed Production*. 2010. Vol. 66. P. 78–82.
4. Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices / D. Tilman et al. *Nature*. 2002. Vol. 418. P. 671–677. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01014/>.
5. AHDB. Beef and sheep BRP manual: Managing clover for better returns. Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board – Better returns program. 2016. URL: <https://projectblue.blob.core.windows.net/media/Default/Imported%20Publication%20Docs/Managing%20clover%20for%20better%20returns.pdf>. (last accessed: 01.10.2023).
6. Alkemade R., Bakkenes M., Eickhout B. Towards a general relationship between climate change and biodiversity: an example for plant species in Europe. *Regional Environmental Change*. 2011. Vol. 11, P. 143–150. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10113-010-0161-1>.
7. Al-Shami, A. S., Essawy, A. E., & Abd Elkader, H. A. E. Molecular mechanisms underlying the potential neuroprotective effects of Trifolium pratense and its phytoestrogen-isoflavones in neurodegenerative disorders. *Phytotherapy Research*. 2023. Vol. 37 (6). P. 2693–2737. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.7870>.
8. Anthropogenic climate change has slowed global agricultural productivity growth / A. Ortiz-Bobea et al. *Nature Climate Change*. 2021. Vol. 11 (4). P. 306–312. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01000-1>.
9. Apparent Red Clover Nitrogen Credit to Corn: Evaluating Cover Crop Introduction / L. E. Gentry et al. *Agronomy Journal*. 2013. Vol. 105 (6). P. 1658–1664. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2013.0089>.
10. Apparent Red Clover Nitrogen Credit to Corn: Evaluating Cover Crop Introduction / E. Lowell et al. *Agronomy Journal*. 2013. Vol. 105 (6). P. 1658–1664. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2013.0089>.
11. Application of nitrogen fixing systems in soil management. FAO solis bulletin. (1982). Roma: FAO.
12. Approaches to optimizing nitrogen fertilization in a winter wheat–red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) relay cropping system A.C.M. Gaudin et al. *Field Crops Research*. 2014. Vol. 155. P. 192–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2013.09.005>.
13. Assessment of red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) productivity in environmental stress / M. Tucak et al. *Poljoprivreda*. 2016. Vol. 22 (2). P. 3–9. <https://doi.org/10.18047/poljo.22.2.1>.

14. Beneficial effects of multi-species mixtures on N₂O emissions from intensively managed grassland swards / S. Cummins et al. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2021. Vol. 792, 148163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148163>.
15. Bioenergy from permanent grassland / A. Prochnow et al. *Bioresource Technology*. 2009. Vol. 100. P. 4931–4944. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.05.070>.
16. Biogas potential of green biomass after protein extraction in an organic biorefinery concept for feed, fuel and fertilizer production / M. Santamaria-Fernandez et al. *Renewable Energy*. 2018. Vol. 129. P. 769–775. Special Issue. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.03.012>.
17. Biomass from landscape management of grassland used for biogas production: Effects of harvest date and silage additives on feedstock quality and methane yield / C. Herrmann et al. *Grass and Forage Science*. 2014. Vol. 69 (4). P. 549–566. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12086>.
18. Chojnacka, K., Moustakas, K., & Witek-Krowiak, A. Bio-based fertilizers: A practical approach towards circular economy. *Bioresource Technology*. 2020. Vol. 295, 122223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2019>.
19. Climate change and impact on European grasslands: proceedings of the 16th symposium of the European Grassland Federation / Trnka M. et al. *Grassland Farming and Land Management Systems in Mountainous Regions*. (Gumpenstein, Austria, 2011). Gumpenstein, 2011. P. 39–51.
20. Comparing energy crops for biogas production – Yields, energy input and costs in cultivation using digestate and mineral fertilisation / C. Gisséna et al. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2014. Vol. 64. P. 199–210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2014.03.061/>.
21. Comparisons of biological nitrogen fixation in association with white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) under four fertiliser nitrogen inputs as measured using two ¹⁵N techniques / W. Burchill et al. *Plant and Soil*. 2014. Vol. 385 (1–2). P. 287–302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-014-2199-1>.
22. Crop Rotation, Red Clover and Cropping History Influences Microbial Amino Sugars of a Clay Loam Soil / B. Zhang et al. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*. 2014. Vol. 78 (3). P. 818–824. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2013.03.0098>.
23. Crops Phylogeny and Genetic Diversity for Science and Breeding / P. Smýkalet al. *CRC Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*. 2015. Vol. 34 (1–3). P. 43–104. <https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/bpts20>.
24. Davydiuk, M. F., Belash, V. A., & Kochik, G. M. Creation of highly productive hayfields using resource-saving technology. *Feeds and Feed Production*. 2001. Vol. 47, 207–210.
25. DEFRA. Farm Practices Survey: Basic Payment Scheme and greening – England. National Statistics. (2015). URL: <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/farm-practices-survey>. (last accessed 15.10.2023).
26. Demonstration-scale protein recovery by lactic acid fermentation from grass clover – a single case of the production of protein concentrate and press cake silage for animal feeding trials / M. Santamaria-Fernandez et al. *Biofuels, Bioproducts & Biorefining*. 2019. Vol. 13 (3). P. 502–513. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.1957>.
27. Dewhurst R. J. Milk production from silage: Comparison of grass, legume and maize silages and their mixtures. In K. Kuoppala, M. Rinne, & A. Vanhatalo (Eds.), *Proceedings of the XVI International Silage Conference 2012*. (pp. 134–135). MTT Agrifood Research Finland, University of Helsinki.
28. Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. *Official Journal of the European Union*. 2009. Vol. 140, P. 16–62. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=celex%3A32009L0028>.
29. Discovering candidate SNPs for resilience breeding of red clover / J. Osterman et al. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2022. Vol. 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.997860>.
30. Ecosystem function enhanced by combining four functional types of plant species in intensively managed grassland mixtures: a 3-year continental-scale field experiment / J. A. Finn et al. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2013. Vol. 50. P. 365–375. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12041>.
31. Effect of slurry application techniques on nitrous oxide emission from temperate grassland under varying soil and climatic conditions / J. K. Nyameasem et al. *Grass and Forage Science*. 2023. Vol. 78 (3). P. 338–358. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12612>.
32. Effects of green manure storage and incorporation methods on nitrogen release and N₂O emissions after soil application / M. S. Carter et al. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 2014. Vol. 50. P. 1233–1246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-014-0936-5>.
33. Effects of species richness and functional groups on chemical constituents relevant for methane yields from anaerobic digestion: Results from a grassland diversity experiment / J. Khalsa et al. *Grass and Forage Science*. 2014. Vol. 69 (1). P. 49–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12028>.
34. EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP). (2016). Revision of the currently authorised maximum copper content in complete feed. *EFSA Journal*. Vol. 14 (8), e04563. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4563>.
35. Elgersma A. Grazing increases the unsaturated fatty acid concentration of milk from grass-fed cows: A review of the contributing factors, challenges and future perspectives. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*. 2015. Vol. 117, P. 1345–1369. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201400469>.
36. Energy potential for combustion and anaerobic digestion of biomass from low-input high-diversity systems in conservation areas / K. Van Meerbeek et al.

Global Change Biology Bioenergy. 2015. Vol. 7. P. 888–898. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12208>.

37. Energy use efficiency, GHG emissions, and cost-effectiveness of organic and sustainable fertilisation / E. Sarauskis et al. *Energy*. 2019. Vol. 172. P. 1151–1160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.02.067>.

38. EUROSTAT. Annual crop statistics – Handbook Page 1 / 200 Document Version 2023 dated 01/02/2023. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/cache/metadata/Annexes/a_pro_cp_esms_an1.pdf (last accessed 15.10.2023).

39. EUROSTAT. Permanent agricultural grassland in Europe. Statistic Explained. 2019. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Permanent_agricultural_grassland_in_Europe#Permanent_agricultural_grassland_at_EU_level (last accessed 15.10.2023).

40. Faba beans for biorefinery feedstock or feed? Greenhouse gas and energy balances of different applications / H. Karlsson et al. *Agricultural Systems*. 2015. Vol. 141. P. 138–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2015.10.004>

41. FAO. FAOSTAT Agri-environmental Indicators, Land Use. 2018. Available at <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/EL> (last accessed 15.10.2023).

42. FAO. The resource outlook to 2050: By how much do land, water, and crop yields need to increase by 2050? Expert meeting on how to feed the world in 2050. 2009. <https://www.fsnnetwork.org/resource-outlook-2050-how-much-do-land-water-and-crop-yields-need-increase-2050> (last accessed 15.10.2023).

43. Fate of nitrogen in agriculture and environment: Agronomic, eco-physiological and molecular approaches to improve nitrogen use efficiency / M. Anas et al. *Biological Research*. 2020. Vol. 16 (1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40659-020-00312-4>.

44. Finneran, E., & Watson, C. A. Economic modelling of an integrated grazed and conserved perennial ryegrass forage production system. *Grass and Forage Science*. 2012. Vol. 67. P. 162–176. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2494.2011.00832.x>.

45. Forage legumes for grazing and conserving in ruminant production systems / P. Phelan et al. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*. 2015. Vol. 34(1–3). P. 281–326. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2014.898455>

46. Forbs enhance productivity of unfertilised grass-clover leys and support low-carbon bioenergy / W.-F. Cong et al. *Scientific Reports*. 2017. Vol. 7. Article number: 1422. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01632-4>.

47. Genome assembly and annotation for red clover (*Trifolium pratense*; Fabaceae) / J. Ištváněk et al. *American Journal of Botany*. 2014. Vol. 101 (2). P. 327–337. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1300340>.

48. Grass–legume mixtures can yield more nitrogen than legume pure stands due to mutual stimulation of nitrogen uptake from symbiotic and non-symbiotic sources / D. Nyfeler et al. *Agriculture, Ecosystems &*

Environment. 2011. Vol. 140 (1–2). P. 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2010.11.022>.

49. Heslop, A. D., Jahufe, Z., Hofmann, R. W. Responses to water stress extremes in diverse red clover germplasm accessions. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2023. Vol. 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1195058>.

50. Hopkins A., Del Prado A. Implications of climate change for grassland in Europe: impacts, adaptations and mitigation options: a review. *Grass and Forage Science*. 2007. Vol. 62. P. 118–126. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2494.2007.00575.x>.

51. Hopkins, A., & Wilkins, R. J. Temperate grassland: Key developments in the last century and future perspectives. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2006. Vol. 144. P. 503–523. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859606006496>.

52. Hornton, P. K. Livestock production: Recent trends, future prospects. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2010. Vol. 365. P. 2853–2867. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0134>.

53. Horsted, K., Hammershøj, M., & Allesen-Holm, B. H. Effect of grass-clover forage and whole-wheat feeding on the sensory quality of eggs. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 2010. Vol. 90 (2). P. 343–348. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3825>.

54. Huyghe C., De Vlieghe A., Golinski P. European grasslands overview: Temperate region. *Grassland Science in Europe*. 2014. Vol. 19. P. 29–40.

55. Huyghe, C., Marrou, H., & Duru, M. Grasslands and Herbivore Production in Europe and Effects of Common Policies. Versailles: Quae. 2014 <https://doi.org/10.35690/978-2-7592-2157-8>.

56. Improved persistence of red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) increases the protein supplied by red clover/grass swards grown over four harvest years / A. H. Marshall et al. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2017. Vol. 89. P. 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2017.06.006>.

57. Improved solubility of proteins from white and red clover – inhibition of redox enzymes / B. Amer et al. *Food Science and Technology*. 2021. Vol. 56 (1). P. 302–311. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.14632>.

58. Improving resilience of northern field crop systems using inter-seeded red clover / A. C. M. Gaudin et al. *Agronomy*. 2013. Vol. 3. P. 148–180. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy>.

59. Increasing crop diversity in wheat rotations increases yields but decreases soil health / I. V. Agomoh, et al. *Soil & Water Management & Conservation*. 2020. Vol. 84 (1). P. 170–181. <https://doi.org/10.1002/saj2.20000>.

60. Increasing crop diversity in wheat rotations increases yields but decreases soil health / V. Ikechukwu et al. *Soil & Water Management & Conservation*. 2020. Vol. 84 (1). P. 170–181. <https://doi.org/10.1002/saj2.20000>.

61. Isselstein J., Kayser M. Functions of grasslands and their potential in delivering ecosystem services.

Grassland Science in Europe. 2014. Vol. 19. P. 199–214.

62. Jørgensen, U., Jensen, S. K., & Ambye-Jensen, M. Coupling the benefits of grassland crops and green biorefining to produce protein, materials and services for the green transition. *Grass and Forage Science*. 2022. Vol. 77 (4). P. 295–306. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12594>.

63. Komainda, M., & Isselstein, J. Effects of functional traits of perennial ryegrass cultivars on forage quality in mixtures and pure stands. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2020. Vol. 158. P. 173–184. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859620000428>.

64. Kovalenko, V. P. Optimization of fertilization and its role in shaping the productivity of varieties of meadow clover. *Scientific Reports of the National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine*. 2017. Vol. 1. URL: http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/Nd_2017_1_14.

65. Kurhak, V. G., & Gorkusha, S. P. The importance of varieties and variety mixtures of perennial leguminous grasses in increasing the productivity of sown grasslands. *Collection of Scientific Works of the National Scientific Center "Institute of Agriculture"*. 1997. Vol. 1. P. 26–28.

66. Lagush, N. I. The impact of long-term fertilizer application in the grain-legume crop rotation on soil agrochemical properties and meadow clover productivity. *Bulletin of Uman National University of Horticulture*. 2019. Vol. 2. P. 25–28. <https://doi.org/10.31395/2310-0478-2019-2-25-28>.

67. Long-term time series of legume cycles in a semi-natural montane grassland: Evidence for nitrogen-driven grass dynamics? / T. Herben et al. *Functional Ecology*. 2017. Vol. 31. P. 1430–1440. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12844>.

68. Lucerne (*Medicago sativa*) or grass-clover as cut-and-carry fertilizers in organic agriculture / G. J. H. M. Van der Burgt et al. In Á. Helgadóttir & A. Hopkins (Eds.), *The role of grasslands in a green future: Threats and perspectives in less favoured areas* (pp. 123–125). Proceedings of the 17th Symposium of the European Grassland Federation, Akureyri, Iceland, 23-26 June 2013. Borgarnes, Iceland.

69. Magnitude and farm-economic value of grain legume pre-crop benefits in Europe / S. Preissel et al. *Field Crops Research*. 2015. Vol. 175. P. 64–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2015.04.003>.

70. Makarenko P. S. Creation of highly productive agrophytocenoses on drained meadows with saline soils. *Bulletin of Agrarian Science*. 2003. Special Issue. P. 11–15.

71. Makarenko, P. S., Derkach, V. S. The role of upland and lowland grasses in creating grasslands for pasture and mowing use. *Feeds and Feed Production*. 2004. Vol. 54. P. 61–65.

72. Malisch, C. S., Zimmermann, J., Roscher, C. Multifunctional benefits of sainfoin mixtures: Effects of partner species, sowing density and cutting regime.

Grass and Forage Science. 2017. Vol. 72(4) P. 794–805. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12278>.

73. Mandil C. Biofuels for transport: an international perspective. International Energy Agency. 2004. P. 25–47.

74. Melts I., Heinsoo K., Ivask M. Herbage production and chemical characteristics for bioenergy production by plant functional groups from semi-natural grasslands. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2014. Vol. 67, 160–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2014.04.037>.

75. Methane yield from switchgrass and reed canarygrass grown in Eastern Canada / D. Massé et al. *Bioresource Technology*. 2011. Vol. 102. P. 10286–10292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.08.087>.

76. Milk yield and urinary-nitrogen excretion of dairy cows grazing forb pasture mixtures designed to reduce nitrogen leaching / R. H. Bryant et al. *Livestock Science*. 2018. Vol. 209. P. 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2018.01.0>.

77. N₂-fixation and residual N effect of four legume species and four companion grass species / J. Rasmussen et al. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2012. Vol. 36. P. 66–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2011.09.003>.

78. Nitrogen status of functionally different forage species explains resistance to severe drought and post-drought overcompensation / D. Hofer et al. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2017. Vol. 236. P. 312–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.11.022>.

79. Nitrogen transfer from forage legumes to nine neighboring plants in a multi-species grassland / K. Pirhofer-Walzl et al. *Plant and Soil*. 2012. Vol. 350. P. 71–84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0882-z>.

80. Oenema, O., de Klein, C., & Alfarcoc, M. Intensification of grassland and forage use: Driving forces and constraints. *Crop and Pasture Science*. 2014. Vol. 65. P. 524–537. <https://doi.org/10.1071/CP14001>.

81. Optimizing yield and flower resources for pollination in intensively managed multi-species grasslands / W. Conget et al. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2020. Vol. 302, 107062. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.107062>.

82. Peeters A. Importance, evolution, environmental impact and future challenges of grasslands and grassland-based systems in Europe. *Grassland Science*. 2009. Vol. 55. P. 113–125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-697X.2009.00154.x>.

83. Phillips C. J. C., James N. L., & Murray-Evans J. P. Effect of forage supplements on the incidence of bloat in dairy cows grazing high clover pastures. *Veterinary Record*. 1996. Vol. 139 (7). P. 162–165. <https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.139.7.162>.

84. Png, G. K., Turner, B. L., & Lambers, H. Greater root phosphatase activity in nitrogen-fixing rhizobial but not actinorhizal plants with declining phosphorus availability. *Journal of Ecology*. 2017. Vol. 105. P. 1246–1255. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12758>.

85. Potential Nutrient Recovery in a Green Biorefinery for Production of Feed, Fuel and Fertilizer for Organic Farming / M. Santamaria-Fernandez et al. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*. 2020. Vol. 11 (11). P.

5901–5911. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-019-00842-3>.

86. Potential of legume-based grassland-livestock systems in Europe / A. Lüscher et al. *Grass and Forage Science*. 2014. Vol. 69. P. 206–228. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12124>.

87. Potential use of forage-legume intercropping technologies to adapt to climate-change impacts on mixed crop-livestock systems in Africa / A. Hassen et al. *Regional Environmental Change*. 2017. Vol. 17 (6). P. 1713–1724. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-017-1131-7>.

88. Protopish I. G., Kvitko H. P., Hetman N. Ya. Perennial leguminous forage crops as an irreplaceable precursor to winter wheat in the conditions of the Right-Bank Forest-Steppe. *Feeds and Feed Production*. 2012. Vol. 72. P. 34–39.

89. Råberg, T., Carlsson, G., & Jensen, E. S. Nitrogen balance in a stockless organic cropping system with different strategies for internal N cycling via residual biomass. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*. 2018. Vol. 112 (2). P. 165–178. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-018-9935-5\(0123456789\(\).,-volV\)\(0123456789\(\).,-volV\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-018-9935-5(0123456789().,-volV)(0123456789().,-volV)).

90. Red Clover Derived Isoflavones: Metabolism and Physiological Effects in Cattle and Sheep and their Concentration in Milk Produced for Human Consumption. In V. Lattanzio (Ed.) / J. Taponen et al. *Recent Advances in Polyphenol Research*. 2010. Vol. 2. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444323375.ch9>.

91. Red clover varieties of Mattenlee type have higher production, protein yield and persistence than Ackerlee types in grass-clover mixtures / N. J. Hoekstra et al. *Grass and Forage Science*. 2018. Vol. 73 (2). P. 297–308. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12300>.

92. Red clover varieties show nitrogen fixing advantage during the early stages of seedling development / M. S. Thilakarathna et al. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*. 2017. Vol. 98. P. 517–526. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2017-0071>.

93. Replacing animal manure with plant-based fertiliser in organic blackcurrant (*Ribes nigrum* L.) production / M. Hefner et al. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2021. Vol. 285, 110168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2021.110168>.

94. Robust biological nitrogen fixation in a model grass-bacterial association / V. C. Pankievicz et al. *The Plant Journal*. 2015. Vol. 81. P. 907–919. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12777>.

95. Rotational grass/clover for biogas integrated with grain production – A life cycle perspective / P. Tidåker et al. *Agricultural Systems*. 2014. Vol. 129. P. 133–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2014.05.015>.

96. Sanderson, M. A. Stability of production and plant species diversity in managed grasslands : A retrospective study. *Basic and Applied Ecology*. 2010. Vol. 11. P. 216–224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2009.08.002>.

97. Schipanski, M. E., & Drinkwater, L. E. Nitrogen fixation of red clover interseeded with winter cereals across a management-induced fertility gradient. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*. 2011. Vol. 90. P. 105–119. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-010-9415-z>.

98. Short communication: A survey of grass-clover ley management and creation of a near infra-red reflectance spectroscopy equation to predict clover concentration / A. L. Thomson et al. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*. 2018. Vol. 245. P. 48–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2018.09.003>.

99. Soil degradation and soil quality in Western Europe: Current situation and future perspectives / I. Virto et al. *Sustainability*. 2015. Vol. 7 (1). P. 313–365. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su7010313>.

100. Special topics–Mitigation of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from animal operations : I. A review of enteric methane mitigation options / A. N. Hristov et al. *Journal of Animal Science*. 2013. Vol. 91 (11). P. 5045–5069. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2013-6583>.

101. Stevenson, K., Zhou, M., Smith, R. Screening for waterlogging tolerance in strawberry clover and other perennial legumes. In ‘18th Australian Society of Agronomy Conference, Doing More with Less’. Ballarat, Vic. (Eds O’Leary, G. J., Armstrong, R. D., Hafner, L.). Available at: <http://www.agronomyaustraliaproceedings.org/> (last accessed 15.10.2023).

102. Stødkilde, L., Ambye-Jensen, M., & Jensen, S. K. Biorefined grass-clover protein composition and effect on organic broiler performance and meat fatty acid profile. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*. 2020. Vol. 104 (6). P. 1757–1767. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.13406>.

103. Stotska, S. V., Moysiienko, V. V., & Panchyshyn, V. Z. The influence of elements of meadow clover cultivation technology on the nutrient content of leaf-stem mass. *Agrobiologia*. 2018. Vol. 1. P. 215–224.

104. Strategies for high nitrogen production and fertilizer value of plant-based fertilizers / M. Lyng et al. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*. 2022. Vol. 186 (1). P. 105–115. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.202200031>.

105. Strong mixture effects among four species in fertilized agricultural grassland led to persistent and consistent transgressive overyielding / D. Nyfeler et al. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2017. Vol. 54 (Applied Ecology in Agricultural Systems). P. 683–691. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2009.01653.x>.

106. Sullivan, M. L., & Quesenberry, K. H. Clover, red (*Trifolium pratense*). *Methods in Molecular Biology*. 2015. Vol. 1223. P. 237–254. <https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59745-130-4:369>.

107. Suter, M., Huguenin-Elie, O., & Lüscher, A. Multispecies for multifunctions: Combining four complementary species enhances multifunctionality of sown grassland. *Scientific Reports*. 2021. Vol. 11, Article number: 3835. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-82162-y>.

108. Swiss agriculture in a changing climate: grassland production and its economic value. The coupling of climate and economic dynamics / P. Calanca et al. *Advances in Global Change Research*. 2005. Vol. 22. P. 341–353.
109. Synergies between mitigation and adaptation to climate change in grassland based farming systems / A. Del Prado et al. Conference: EGF at 50: The future of European grasslands (At: Aberystwyth, Wales). *Grassland Science in Europe*. 2014. Vol. 19, P. 61–74.
110. The characterization of isoflavone content in the Croatian red clover collection / M. Tucak et al. *Poljoprivreda*. 2019. Vol. 25 (1). P. 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.18047/poljo.25.1.1>.
111. The impact of natural factors and the interaction of anthropogenic resources on the productivity and energy potential of grasslands / H. Panakhyd et al. *Journal of Central European Agriculture*. 2022. Vol. 23 (2), P. 391–402. <https://jcea.agr.hr/en/issues/article/3431>.
112. The potential of organic fertilizers and water management to reduce N₂O emissions in Mediterranean climate cropping systems / E. Aguilera et al. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. 2013. Vol. 164, P. 32–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.09.006>.
113. The use of red clover (*Trifolium pratense*) in soil fertility-building / P. McKenna et al. *Field Crops Research*. 2018. Vol. 221. P. 38–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2018.02.006>.
114. Tilvikiene, V., Slepeliene, A., & Kadziulien, Z. Effects of 5 years of digestate application on biomass production and quality of cocksfoot (*Dactylis glomerata* L.). *Grass and Forage Science*. 2018. Vol. 73. P. 206–217. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12306>.
115. Trükmann K. Quantifizierung der Stabilisierungseffekte von Pflanzenwurzeln als Möglichkeit zur Reduzierung der mechanischen Bodendeformationen in Grünland: doctoral thesis. Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel. 2011 URL: http://eldiss.uni-kiel.de/macau/receive/dissertation_diss_00006942 (last accessed 15.10.2023).
116. Turan, F., & Akyurt, I. Effects of red clover extract on growth performance and body composition of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). *Fisheries Science*. 2005. Vol. 71 (3). P. 618–620. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-2906.2005.01006.x>.
117. United Nations. World population prospects 2019: Highlights. Department of Economic and Social Affairs, population division, ST/ESA/SER.A/423. 2019.
118. Use of legume green manures as nitrogen sources for corn production / M. Liebman et al. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*. 2012. Vol. 27(3). P. 180–191. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170511000299>.
119. Utilization of nitrogen in legume-based mobile green manures stored as compost or silage / P. Sørensen et al. Organic farming systems as a driver for change (pp. 157–158). NJF Report, Bredsten, Denmark.
120. Wachendorf, M., & Soussana, J. T. Perspectives of energy production from grassland biomass for atmospheric greenhouse gas mitigation. *Grassland Science in Europe*. 2012. Vol. 17, 425–435.
121. Yield of temperate forage grassland species is either largely resistant or resilient to experimental summer drought / D. Hofer et al. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2016. Vol. 53. P. 1023–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12694>.
122. Yield, forage nutritive value, and preference of legumes under horse grazing. D. N. Catalano et al. *Agronomy Journal*. 2019. Vol. 111 (3), P. 1312–1322. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2018.07.0442>.
123. Zabarna T. A. Influence of sown grasslands of meadow clover on root mass accumulation and changes in the physico-chemical indicators of soil fertility. *Polish Journal of Science*. 2020. Vol. 26. P. 3–8. 124.
124. Zabarna, T. A. Formation of meadow clover productivity depending on intensification factors. *Agriculture and Forestry*. 2021. Vol. 21. P. 95–108.